

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/630,958	WUSKE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Keri A. Moss	1797	

All Participants:

(1) Keri A. Moss.

(2) Attorney Ted Dengler.

Status of Application: Response to Election/Restriction

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 7 January 2008

Time: 9:00 am

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

New Claims 36, 44 and 47.

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner Moss and Attorney Dengler discussed amending independent claim 36 to address why the sample liquid does not travel from the sample tip into the cavity of the sample collector without the aid of overpressure. An amendment to the claim was agreed upon. Claims 44 and 47 were considered for amendment but it was decided that they did not need to be amended. Claims 1 and 3 have been cancelled.